Evaluating Impact of Green Freight Technologies

LEAN AIR

ASIA

Sudhir Gota Technical Manager (Transportation) Clean Air Asia

Better Air Quality conference Hong Kong 5-7 December, 2012







Fuel is about 40- 60% of truck operating costs







Source : Cascade Sierra Solutions

Lack of confidence in Truck Technologies



1. What technologies would work for my fleet?

CLEAN AIR

SIA

- 2. How much would be the impact on our roads, our trucks, our loads and our driving behavior?
- 3. Would the technology pay for its cost?
- 4. Would the technology adversely impact my business?
- 5. Is it legal to use such technologies?

In a recent GFAN survey of 39 companies it was found that the one of the biggest barrier is <u>"Technologies are too expensive/ have poor rate of return"</u>

Thailand – Technologies (interview with suppliers)





Green Trucks Pilot Project - Guangzhou



			ASIA
Pilot Unit	SOCL	XBWL	BYGF
Truck Type	Long Haul	Short Haul	Short Haul
Pilot Truck/ Control Truck	2:1	3:3	2:1
Technologies Tested	Low Resistance Tires, Tire Pressure Monitoring System, Aluminium Rims, Gap Fairing, Nosecone, Trailor Skirts	Low Resistance Tires, Tire Pressure Monitoring System	Low Resistance Tires, Tire Pressure Monitoring System
Actual Saving (%)	6.70%	1.80%	18.50%
Payback Year	2.74	3.97	0.65

Star of the City Logistics (SOCL), Xinbang Logistics (XBWL) and garbage fleet of Baiyun District Project Partners - Guangzhou Transport Commitee, Guangzhou Environmental Protection Bureau, World Bank, CAI Asia, USEPA, Cascade Sirra Solutions

Lessons Learnt – Low speed, poor monitoring, Driver training provided but piloting with other drivers

Green Trucks Pilot Project - GEF Guangdong Demonstration

CLEAN AIR

SIA

This 14 Million USD project involves

- 1. Technology Retrofit for **1200 Trucks** (Aerodynamics, Tires, Idling)
- 2. Driver Training for 1200 Drivers
- 3. Freight logistics management Component Freight logistics platform and "drop-andhook"
- 4. Institutional Development and Capacity Building

Main goal of this project is to reduce the road freight emission in Guangdong Province by **10%** i.e. direct reduction **of 165,989** tons of CO2e emission each year.

- **1. 38,650** tons are reduced through the demonstration of green freight truck technology,
- 2. 55,270 tons of reduction volume is realized through the "drop and hoop transport" project, and
- 3. 72,069 tons of emission reduction is realized through the logistics transaction information platform.
- 4. The total fuel savings amount to **approx 64 million liters of Diesel**

Green Trucks Pilot Project - Transport Incentive Program in Thailand



				ACIA			
Dimension	Measures	Expected Saving (%)	Average (%)	Number of	Number of trucks		
				operators			
Engineering & Technology	GPS installation	4.21-5.03	4.25	4	15		
	Tire pressure monitoring	1.25-7.97	4.61	3	17		
	Preventive maintenance	1.15-3.39	2.75	2	168		
	Increase capacity of truck	10.36- 16.60	13.48	2	9		
	Roof spoiler installation	4.31	4.31	1	2		
	Fuel pumping system improvement	3.24	3.24	1	1		
	Use energy saving tires	0.39-24.37	9.34	18	392		
	Install more axle wheel	8.59-59.10	36.62	4	23		
Management	Full truck load implementation	0.87-20	10.43	2	9		
	Warehouse management	10.03	10.03	1	3		
Drive	Driving training	0.36-5.92	3.08	8	176		
Task Force	Capacity building on	1.85	1.85	1	50		
	transport management team		Source	e : ADB GMS	EOC		

Raise Awareness - Green Trucks Toolkit



Developed under the Greater Mekong Subregion (GMS) Core Environment Program (CEP) funded by the Asian Development Bank (ADB)

Objectives

- Rapid assessment of pollution and greenhouse gas emission impacts of their truck fleets
- Raise awareness on the strategies for reducing the environmental impacts of their fleets and increase their fleets' efficiencies
- Rapid analysis of costs and benefits of implementing such strategies.
- Monitoring of technologies
- Available free @ <u>http://cleanairinitiative.org/portal/node/8074</u>
- Being used by GMS EOC in three countries in Asia and IADB in 10 Latin American countries.
- Based on ASIF framework, has defaults and easy to use



Your fleetwide fuel consumption (excluding idling consumption)				
liters of diesel				
liters of gasoline				
kgs of CNG				
liters of LPG				

Costing vou:

Costing Tot.	
3,91,813.77	USD for diesel
40,517.80	USD for gasoline
-	USD for CNG
18,727.97	USD for LPG

Sce	nario: Aerodynamic Technologi	6 5						
Ass	sumptions:	Technology database						
		assumed average improvement in fuel efficiency if an						
	10%	aerodynamic equipment package is installed						
	70%	% of travel that is done over 50 kmph	The a	rerage speed i	5 (30 kmp	a, acrodynamic styling may not be	ellective,	
		12 of trucks have aerodynamic styling	analyse the 2 of travel in speeds more than 50 hmph and compare with the travel in speeds less than 50 hmph.					
	1,000	Initial cost of package (USD)		········				
	100	Annual maintenance costs per package (USD)						
	5	Equipment lifespan (years)						
	10%	Discount rate (%)						
Fue	el Savings per Year		Cumula	ative Savinas (USI	2)		Upda	te Graph
	20,996.72	liters of diesel	_		· ·			
	2,181.73	liters of gasoline		3.00.000				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	kgs of CNG		-,,				
	1,680.72	liters of LPG		2,00,000				
		а а <u>а</u> н. к		1,00,000 -				
	40,616.33	Average gross savings per year [discounted]	-					
	1.01	Discounted payback period (years)		- C '				
				-1,00,000 J 1 2 3	845			
Eni	ission Savings (kg/year)		_					
PM.		31		2,500		80,000 7		
Nox		1,192	ea.	2,000 -		60,000 -		
CO		2,112	- Š	1,500 -		40.000		
VOC		229		1 000 -		40,000		
Sox		20	8			20,000 -		
Ph			. 5	500 -				

65,298

РЬ СО2

🕩 🕨 version notes 📈 Introduction 📈 Home 🖉 Technology Reference 🖌 fuelconversion 📈 currency 🔏 Baseline Input 🔏 Baseline Summary 🖉 Eco-driving 🦯

00

VOC

Sco

PM

No

CO2

dv Filter Mode

Scope of the Tool



Pollutants

- Particulate matter
- Nitrogen oxides
- Carbon monoxide
- Volatile organic compounds
- Sulfur oxides
- Lead (for gasoline trucks)
- Carbon dioxide

Strategies

- Eco-driving
- Aerodynamic Styling
- Tires and Wheels
- Reduction of Idling
- Reduction of empty trips
- Sulfur reduction
- Lead reduction/elimination
- Emission control devices
- Replacement with CNG/LPG trucks

Output provides emissions savings as well as financial indicators such as fuel savings per year (in actual amount and in terms of cost), payback periods and accumulated savings.

Steps in Using the Tool



Define the current status of your fleet (baseline)

Analyze the baseline

Define the scenarios that you can do in order to increase efficiency and lower emissions

Analyze the scenarios and pick out strategies that suit you



Input and Output parameters



Input

- •Company name
- •Country name
- Year of assessment
- •Fleet Average
- •Fuel price
- Individual Trucks
- •ID Number/Name
- •Number of wheels
- •Fuel type
- •Kilometers/year
- •Fuel consumption
- •Average payload per loaded trip
- •Average distance per trip
- •Year of manufacture
- •Gross vehicle weight
- •Empty trip %
- •Average speed
- •Average idling time
- •Average number of days in operation/year

Base line Output (Fuel type/vehicle type) Fuel consumption (and associated costs)

- Total fuel consumption
- •Consumption during idling
- •Consumption during empty trips

Kilometers driven

- Total kilometers driven
- Kilometers driven during empty trips

Fuel Efficiency

• Histogram of fuel efficiencies

Emissions

- •Indicators (emissions per ton-km, per km)
- Total emissions

Scenario analysis <u>- emissions savings and</u> <u>financial indicators</u>

Insights from Technology Demonstration/Surveys/Evaluation



- The margin of error may exceed the savings percentage Strong commitment and good measurement/piloting required
- 2. Participating companies were keen to be considered **leaders** in their sector
- 3. Aerodynamics not only saves fuel but stabilises the vehicle thus improving safety (cobenefits)
- 4. consider technology packages (consider weight, impact, cost and rebound)
- 5. Not all technology options would work for fleet effectively. Retrofit early to get more savings, technologies with less than 3 years payback are most attractive (fuel prices would increase in future)
- 6. Identify Local players who provide technology (import works for demonstration only)
- 7. Identify key stakeholders government, private and NGO/Universities and build a transparent partnership for success



For more information: www.cleanairasia.org





Clean Air Asia Center

center@cleanairasia.org Unit 3505 Robinsons-Equitable Tower ADB Avenue, Pasig City Metro Manila 1605 Philippines

Clean Air Asia China Office

china@cleanairasia.org 901A Reignwood Building, No. 8 YongAnDongLi Jianguomenwai Avenue Beijing China

Clean Air Asia India Office

india@cleanairasia.org 1st Floor, Building No. 4 Thyagraj Nagar Market, Lodhi Colony New Delhi 110003 India

Clean Air Asia Country Networks

China • India • Indonesia • Nepal • Pakistan • Philippines • Sri Lanka • Vietnam

Clean Air Asia Center Members



CORNING

236 Clean Air Asia Partnership Members

- Cities
- Environment ministries and government agencies
- Development agencies and foundations
- Non-government organizations
- Academic and research institutions
- Private sector companies and associations

Clean Air Asia Donors in 2012

Asian Development Bank • Cities Development Initiative for Asia • ClimateWorks Foundation • DHL/IKEA/UPS • Energy Foundation • Fredskorpset Norway • Fu Tak Iam Foundation • German International Cooperation (GIZ) • Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES) • Institute for Transport Policy Studies • Institute for Transportation and Development Policy • International Union for Conservation of Nature • L'Agence Française de Développement (AFD) • MAHA • Pilipinas Shell • Rockefeller Brothers Fund • Shakti Foundation • Shell Foundation • United Nations Environment Program Partnership for Clean Fuels and Vehicles (UNEP PCFV) • USAID CEnergy • Veolia • World Bank